Synthesis and Testing of Zeolite from Industrial-Waste Coal Fly Ash as Sorbent For Water Adsorption from Ethanol Solution
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Abstract. In the present work, zeolite was prepared from industrial-waste coal fired ash (CFA) by fusion technique with and without acid-washing pretreatment under various conditions. The synthesized materials were then tested for water adsorption from bioethanol solution with an aim to produce a high purity ethanol (>99.5%) for later utilization in gasohol production manufacturing. From our studies, it was found that the impurities (i.e., Fe₂O₃, TiO₂, MgO, CaO, K₂O and SO₃) in CFA could be efficiently removed by acid-washing pretreatment. Among three different acids studied (i.e., HCl, HNO₃, and H₂SO₄), HCl exhibited the highest pretreatment performance, while the most suitable pretreatment conditions to enhance high purity raw material (up to 85% purity) were by using 20%HCl with the acid to CFA ratio of 25 mlHCl/gCFA at 80°C for 3 h. After fusion at 550°C with NaOH/CFA mass ratio of 2.25 and further crystallization at 90°C for 4 h, the pretreated CFA was converted to zeolite; from which the main phases were sodium aluminum silicate hydrate (1.08Na₂O•Al₂O₃•1.68SiO₂•1.8H₂O) and faujasite-Na (Na₂Al₂Si₂O₈•6.7H₂O). Regarding water adsorption testing, the synthesized zeolite provided comparable adsorption performance with the commercial grade molecular sieve. Under three adsorption cycles testing at 85°C, high ethanol purity (99.8%) could be achieved without deactivation being observed.
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1. Introduction

To date, the energy crisis due to the shortage and the price rise of gasoline in the transportation sector is one of the world’s most pressing concerns. Bioethanol is known as a promising alternative fuel that can partially replace gasoline, namely gasohol. Generally, ethanol can be efficiently produced from the digestion and/or fermentation of starch and sugar. These processes typically produce ethanol with approximately 30% concentration (by volume). Importantly, this ethanol solution must be concentrated by distillation and dehydration to high purity ethanol (99.5%) prior to mixing it with gasoline. The current commercial technology to purify ethanol consists of two main processes; the first process is by passing ethanol solution through the distillation system to increase the concentration of ethanol to 95%; then the second process is to dehydrate the remaining water in ethanol solution by adsorption to enhance the ethanol concentration to 99.5%. Regarding the adsorption process, zeolite molecular sieve is practically applied economically [1].

Coal fly ash (CFA) is an important by-product from the combustion of coal in power generation; it was reported that Thailand regularly generates more than 5.1 million tons of CFA per year, with a tendency to increase every year [2]. The management of CFA therefore becomes both an economic and an environmental issue. In this study, we aimed to use industrial-waste CFA as a raw material to synthesize zeolite since the typical components of CFA are amorphous aluminosilicate glasses, which are the main raw material for zeolite manufacturing [3]. Theoretically, zeolite are crystalline aluminum—silicates, with group I or II elements as counterions. Their structure is made up of a framework of $[\text{SiO}_4]^{4-}$ and $[\text{AlO}_4]^{5-}$ tetrahedra linked to one another at the corners by sharing their oxygen. The tetrahedra make up a three-dimensional network with lots of voids and open spaces; these voids result in several special properties of zeolite e.g., high thermal stability, ion exchange capability, liquid and gas adsorption capability and selective catalytic behavior. Zeolite can be classified into two groups that is natural and synthesized zeolite. Usually, synthesized zeolite are preferable to the natural zeolite due to the flexibility of pore size adjustment through different synthesizing techniques. It is known that about 24 types of zeolite can be synthesized; among them, zeolite A and faujasite are known as the most valuable forms. Particularly, faujasite-type zeolite is widely applied in several petrochemical industries since the dealuminated form of faujasite enables catalytic activities for various reactions due to their high acid properties, while the cationic form of faujasite can be efficiently applied for gas recuperation and/or separation owing to their selective adsorption properties. Importantly, it is known that cationic forms of zeolite present a strong water adsorption capacity when exchanging with some cations that is (i.e. Na$^+$, Li$^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$ or Ca$^{2+}$)[4, 5, 6]; nevertheless, the reports relevant to water adsorption properties of faujasite remain limited.

Regarding the zeolite synthesis process, there are currently two potential methods including hydrothermal and fusion methods [5, 7]. The fusion method gains advantages in terms of the short time requirement [5] and the achievement of high purity product [8, 9], whereas the hydrothermal method enhances benefits in terms of the consistent pattern of zeolite product. Generally, it is known that the fusion method is preferable for the solid-phase reaction, while the hydrothermal method is more appropriate for the reaction in liquid phase. Hence, the fusion method is considered as the appropriate technique for solid CFA conversion. Molina and Poole [10] compared the fusion with the hydrothermal methods for producing zeolite from UK fly ash; the researchers indicated that relatively higher zeolite X is synthesized from the fusion method; furthermore, the use of hydrothermal method results in the presences of crystalline phases (i.e., quartz and mullite) in the products. Importantly, for the fusion method, several researchers [8, 10, 11-13] have agreed that the preparation conditions, that is NaOH/fly ash ratio, reaction time and temperature, showed a significant impact on the type and the properties of zeolite achieved; therefore the conditions must be carefully controlled.

This work evaluated the suitable conditions in the conversion of the industrial waste CFA to zeolite molecular sieve by fusion method using NaOH as the activation reagent. Various preparation conditions including NaOH/CFA ratio and reaction temperature were carried out in order to determine the suitable fusion conditions. Importantly, due to the presence of high impurities in raw CFA, the acid-washing pretreatment was performed prior to the fusion process since it has been reported that this pretreatment method could efficiently remove several mineral compounds from CFA [14]. It has been noted that the acid-washing pretreatment was carried out with various acid types (i.e., HCl, H$_2$SO$_4$, and HNO$_3$), acid concentrations, acid/CFA ratios, pretreatment temperatures and times with an aim to optimize the suitable pretreatment conditions for removing impurities from CFA. After preparation, the synthesized zeolites
were then tested as sorbent for water adsorption from ethanol solution. Its adsorption capacity was also compared to the commercial grade molecular sieve.

2. Experimental

2.1. Raw Material

CFA was obtained from a coal fired thermal power system of a local pulp manufacturer in Thailand. The chemical compositions of the CFA sample were analyzed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) (Philips, model PW2400 the Netherlands) as shown in Table 1.

2.2. Acid-Washing Pretreatment Procedure

The acid-washing pretreatment of CFA was carried out under various pretreatment conditions (i.e. different acid type, acid concentration, acid/CFA ratio, pretreatment temperature and time). In detail, 10 g of CFA was initially added to HCl, H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ solutions (with 10%, 20% and 30% acid concentrations) with the acid/CFA ratios of 25 mlHCl g⁻¹CFA, 30 mlHCl g⁻¹CFA and 40 mlHCl g⁻¹CFA. The mixture was stirred constantly at a rate of 300 rpm at three different temperatures (70°C, 80°C and 90°C) for 1 h, 2 h, and 3 h. Next, the solid sample was filtered off from the solution and washed repeatedly with distilled water until the solution reached pH 7 before being dried overnight at 105°C.

2.3. Zeolite Synthesis by Fusion Method

Zeolite was prepared by the fusion method following the procedures reported by Molina and Poole [10]. Firstly, 2 g of CFA (both pretreated and non-pretreated samples) was mixed with NaOH pellets (reagent grade) to obtain the NaOH/CFA mass ratios of 1.2 and 2.25. The mixture was then fused under air flow (100 ml min⁻¹) at three different temperatures (450°C, 550°C and 600°C) for 1 h in the tubular furnace. After cooling down, the product was crushed, mixed with 20 ml or 40 ml of distilled water, and put in the shaker at room temperature for 12 h. The mixture was then sequentially crystallized under static condition of 90°C for 4 h where the crystal was collected through the filtration. The crystal was washed several times with distilled water (until the pH of the solution reached 7) and dried overnight at 105°C.

Several physical characterizations of the solid products from the above preparation were observed using a variety of conventional techniques to determine the phase formation, the degree of crystallinity, the material specific surface area and the pore size distribution. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of powder were determined by X-ray diffractometer, while BET surface area, cumulative pore volume and average pore diameter were measured by N₂ physisorption technique using Micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface area and porosity analyzer.

2.4. Water Adsorption Testing

To undergo the water adsorption testing, an experimental reactor system was constructed. Ethanol solution (95%wt concentration) in vapor phase was fed through the system at the rate of 36 ml h⁻¹ by a syringe pump passing through an evaporator, while the carrier gas (nitrogen) was controlled and introduced to the system by the mass flow controller. These inlet gaseous mixtures were introduced to the adsorption section, in which a 10-mm diameter stainless steel reactor was mounted vertically inside the tubular furnace. The solid sample (1 g) was loaded into the stainless steel reactor over quartz wool to prevent the sample from moving out of the adsorption zone. In the system, a Type-K thermocouple was placed into the annular space between the reactor and the furnace. This thermocouple was mounted in close contact with the sample bed to minimize the temperature difference. The adsorption temperatures studied in this work were varied from 85°C to 100°C.

After the adsorption, the exit mixture gas was transferred to the cooling bath system (controlling the temperature at 10°C) for condensing ethanol solution in the product line. The liquid product was collected from the cooling bath every 3 min and was analyzed by Karl Fischer titrator (KF, model 870 Titrino plus) in order to measure the water concentration. The reusability of solid sample was also tested by treating the
spent sample under nitrogen flow at 280°C for 3 h before re-packing in the reactor for a repeating water adsorption test.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Pretreatment of CFA by Acid-Washing

Table 1 presents the composition of CFA after acid-washing treatment with HCl, H₂SO₄, and HNO₃ (at the acid concentrations of 10%, 20% and 30%). Treatment with these acids enables the removal of impurities (i.e., Fe₂O₃, TiO₂, MgO, CaO, K₂O and SO₃) in CFA resulting in the presence of higher SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ percentages in the product. Among these three acids, the treatment with HCl provides the highest purity raw material (78-85% of SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ depending on the acid concentration), whereas treatment with HNO₃ achieves the lowest purity (60-70%). Notably, treatment with H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ effectively remove CaO from CFA but are ineffective for Fe₂O₃ removal. On the other hand, the treatment with HCl efficiently removes both CaO and Fe₂O₃ from CFA. From the results, there were no significant changes in the percent yield of CFA after treatment with three acids (HCl, H₂SO₄, HNO₃), they were all in a range of 54--57%wt.

Regarding the effect of acid concentration, it can be seen from Table 1 that the product purity strongly increases with increasing acid concentration from 10% to 20%; nevertheless, this positive effect becomes less pronounced when the acid concentration increases to 30%. Hence, it is observed from this study that HCl with a 20% concentration is the most suitable for CFA pretreatment.

Next, the effects of acid/CFA ratio, treatment temperature, and treatment time on the product purity from the acid-washing pretreatment with HCl were further examined. The acid/CFA ratio shows insignificant impact on the product purity, whereas the increasing treatment temperature from 60 to 70 and 80°C show a slightly positive effect on the product purity, but this positive impact became an inhibitory impact at 90°C (Fig. 1). From the XRF analysis, the Fe₂O₃ content in CFA reduces from 17.3% to 16.4% and 13.2% when the treatment temperature increases from 60°C to 70°C and 80°C, which could be due to the increase of reaction rate between HCl and Fe₂O₃ with increasing temperature; nevertheless, at 90°C Fe₂O₃ content increased to 19.1% indicating a lower reaction rate between both compounds at this high temperature. This result corresponds to the findings of Wang et al. [14] who also reported the optimum temperature for acid-washing pretreatment of fly ash at 80°C. Lastly, the effect of pretreatment time on the product purity was carried out by varying the pretreatment time from 1 h to 2 h and 3 h. Also shown in Fig. 1, the product purity increased with an increasing pretreatment time from 1 h to 2 h and continues to increase slightly at 3 h. According to the XRF analysis, the change in product purity with pretreatment time mainly relates to the presence of Fe₂O₃ at different pretreatment times (the content of this impurity compound decreases from 17.6% to 13.8% and 12.8% at the pretreatment time of 1 h, 2 h and 3 h, respectively). Based on these results, the optimum conditions for acid-washing pretreatment are a 20%HCl with HCl/CFA ratio of 25 at 80°C for 3 h.

![Fig. 1. Effects of acid/CFA ratio, treatment temperature, and treatment time on product purity from the acid-washing pretreatment with HCl (20% concentration).](image-url)
Table 1. XRF analysis of CFA after acid-washing treatment with various acid types and acid concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Raw CFA</th>
<th>10% HCl</th>
<th>20% HCl</th>
<th>30% HCl</th>
<th>10% H₂SO₄</th>
<th>20% H₂SO₄</th>
<th>30% H₂SO₄</th>
<th>10% HNO₃</th>
<th>20% HNO₃</th>
<th>30% HNO₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>26.80</td>
<td>62.76</td>
<td>70.52</td>
<td>69.79</td>
<td>56.59</td>
<td>57.01</td>
<td>64.82</td>
<td>44.90</td>
<td>52.25</td>
<td>54.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>13.82</td>
<td>06.18</td>
<td>07.34</td>
<td>18.77</td>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>23.69</td>
<td>22.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>02.22</td>
<td>04.02</td>
<td>04.21</td>
<td>04.92</td>
<td>03.62</td>
<td>04.48</td>
<td>03.33</td>
<td>03.38</td>
<td>03.87</td>
<td>03.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>01.51</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>01.06</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>18.12</td>
<td>01.45</td>
<td>01.65</td>
<td>01.47</td>
<td>01.58</td>
<td>01.54</td>
<td>01.66</td>
<td>02.36</td>
<td>01.90</td>
<td>01.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>03.16</td>
<td>02.32</td>
<td>02.53</td>
<td>02.88</td>
<td>03.87</td>
<td>04.45</td>
<td>02.40</td>
<td>02.67</td>
<td>02.40</td>
<td>02.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>07.30</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>00.43</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>00.25</td>
<td>00.31</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>00.39</td>
<td>00.46</td>
<td>00.46</td>
<td>00.38</td>
<td>00.41</td>
<td>00.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb₂O</td>
<td>00.05</td>
<td>00.05</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>00.41</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>00.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>00.18</td>
<td>00.06</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>00.10</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>00.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂O₃</td>
<td>00.21</td>
<td>00.26</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>00.38</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>00.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>00.33</td>
<td>00.17</td>
<td>00.16</td>
<td>00.22</td>
<td>00.10</td>
<td>00.20</td>
<td>00.18</td>
<td>00.11</td>
<td>00.10</td>
<td>00.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Zeolite Synthesis from Treated and Untreated CFA

The fusion method was carried out on pretreated and non-pretreated CFA samples using the fusion temperature of 450°C with NaOH/CFA ratio of 2.25 for 12 h followed by crystallization at 90°C for 4 h. XRD results suggest that the main phase formation of zeolite synthesized from non-pretreated CFA is sodium aluminum silicate hydrate \((1.08\text{Na}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 1.68\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 1.8\text{H}_2\text{O})\), whereas the phase formation of zeolite synthesized from the pretreated CFA contains both sodium aluminum silicate hydrate and Na-Faujasite \((\text{Na}_2\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8 \cdot 6.7\text{H}_2\text{O})\) as shown in Fig. 2. Furthermore, BET results as demonstrated in Table 2 show that the pretreated sample has higher specific surface area and total pore volume with smaller pore size diameter.

To investigate the effect of fusion temperature, experiments with various NaOH/CFA ratios (from 2.25 to 1.2) and crystallization condition (with 20 ml and 40 ml of adding water prior to crystallization) were carried out at various fusion temperatures \((450°C, 550°C \text{ and } 600°C)\). Previously, several researchers reported different suitable fusion temperatures. Rayalu et al. [9] and Sommerset et al. [15] suggested the optimum fusion temperature of 600°C, whereas Molina and Poole [10], Ojha et al. [16] and Shigemoto et al. [17] reported the optimum fusion temperature of 550°C. In this study it was determined that the fusion temperature provides insignificant impact on the material phase formation (Fig. 3) but strongly affects the material specific surface area and pore diameter, particularly for the pretreated samples. As shown in Table 2, the specific surface area of pretreated CFA increases from 151.7 to 227 m² g⁻¹ as the fusion temperature increases from 450°C to 550°C; nevertheless, at the fusion temperature of 600°C, the specific surface area remains constant (or slightly decreases) at 223 m² g⁻¹.

Table 2. BET measurement of product after fusion at various temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Acid-washing Pretreatment</th>
<th>Fusion Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Surface Area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Pore Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Pore Volume (cm³/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCl-F450-Na2.25-C20</td>
<td>without</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl-F550-Na2.25-C20</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>21.36</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl-F600-Na2.25-C20</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>69.14</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-HCl-F450-Na2.25-C20</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-HCl-F550-Na2.25-C20</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>227.0</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-HCl-F600-Na2.25-C20</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>223.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-HCl-F450-Na1.20-C20</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>223.3</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-HCl-F550-Na2.25-C40</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Phase formations of pretreated and non-pretreated CFA samples after fusion process (fusion temperature of 450°C with NaOH/CFA ratio of 2.25 for 12 h following with crystallization at 90°C for 4 h).

Fig. 3. Phase formations of samples after fusion at different temperatures (using NaOH/CFA ratio of 2.25 for 12 h following with crystallization at 90°C for 4 h).

Presently, there have only been a few studies related to the effect of NaOH/CFA ratio during the fusion process on the product formation. For instance, Rayalu et al. [9], Carlos et al. [18] and Molina and Poole [10] reported that the use of NaOH/CFA ratio of 1.2 (under the fusion temperature of 600°C, and crystallization temperature of 105°C for 24 h) provided an almost 100% purity faujasite product; whereas Molina and Poole [10] suggested the suitable NaOH/CFA ratio of 2.25. We found insignificant effect of NaOH/CFA ratio on the phase formation and specific surface area of material (the specific surface area
changes from 227 m² g⁻¹ at NaOH/CFA ratio of 2.25 to 232 m² g⁻¹ at NaOH/CFA ratio of 1.2). Lastly, regarding the effect of crystallization condition, it was observed that the amount of water used during the crystallization period strongly affects the phase formation of the product. By increasing the amount of water from 20 ml to 40 ml, the phase of product became only sodium aluminum silicate hydrate, as shown in Fig. 4. This could be due to the fact that water is one major component in zeolite structure having the empirical formula of M₂/nO • Al₂O₃ • ySiO₂ • wH₂O; hence, the use of different water amounts during the crystallization period lead to the change in zeolite structure. By using 40 ml of water, the concentration of reactive species in the liquid phase is diluted, resulting in a decrease of the crystal growth rate, according to the relation: Kg ∝ f(C); where Kg is the crystal growth and C is the concentration of sodium hydroxide [7]. Under this crystallization condition, it forms mainly sodium aluminum silicate hydrate.

3.3. Performance of Synthesized Zeolite as Water Sorbent

The water adsorption performances of synthesized zeolite products were investigated. Firstly, raw CFA with and without pretreatment were tested for water adsorption from ethanol solution at 90°C. From the results, water could not be adsorbed by the treated and non-treated CFA. The zeolite samples were then prepared by acid washing pretreatment and fusion under different fusion temperatures are denoted as Z-HCl-F450, Z-HCl-F550, and Z-HCl-F600 (which means zeolite prepared by HCl pretreatment and fusion at 450°C, 550°C and 600°C respectively). Furthermore, the samples prepared with different NaOH/CFA and different amounts of water during the crystallization are denoted as Z-HCl-F450-Na1.2-C20 and Z-HCl-F450-Na2.25-C20, which means zeolite prepared by fusion at 450°C with NaOH/CFA ratio of 1.2 and 2.25, respectively, prior to the crystallization with 20 ml of water).

Figure 5 shows the breakthrough curve for water adsorption from ethanol solution at 90°C of all samples and was also compared to the commercial grade molecular-sieve (ZEOCHEM Z3-03 supplied from ZEOCHEM). The breakthrough curve is a plot of relative concentration (C/C₀ ratio) versus time, where C₀ is the water concentration of the feed ethanol solution and C is the water concentration of the outlet ethanol at a given time. From the results, the acid-washing pretreatment and fusion conditions (i.e. fusion temperature, NaOH/CFA ratio and the amount of water used during crystallization) strongly affected the water adsorption capacity of material. The samples with acid-washing pretreatment prior to the
fusion process demonstrated a greater adsorption capacity than the samples without acid-washing pretreatment. Among all synthesized samples, Z-HCl-F550-Na2.25-C20 shows the highest water adsorption capacity; its adsorption performance is comparable to the commercial grade molecular-sieve and reported adsorption values (molecular-sieve type 3A, 4A, 5A and biobased adsorbents) [19]. From the results, more than 99%wt ethanol concentration could be achieved using Z-HCl-F550-Na2.25-C20 via the adsorption process (described in Section 2.4). Note that the reported adsorption capacities are in the range of 0.087-0.127 g\text{water/g adsorbent} [19] while Z-HCl-F550-Na2.25-C20 had an adsorption capacity of 0.158 g\text{water/g adsorbent}. This result clearly indicates the importance of material purity, phase formation and specific surface area on the water adsorption performance, in which the material with high purity, with the presence of Na-faujasite phase and with high specific surface area can gain high water adsorption performance. Currently, only a few studies have reported the water adsorption capability of Na-faujasite. Generally, faujasite is known as cationic zeolite, which also presents a strong adsorption affinity for water. For most zeolites with a strong adsorption affinity for water, the adsorption is the result of specific interactions between water molecules and exchangeable cations, which are the hydrophilic sites. Moise et al. [4] explained that the water adsorption on faujasite occurred in three main steps, from which the first step corresponded to the adsorption on the hydrophilic sites with high absolute values of adsorption heats (≈80 kJ mol⁻¹ for NaX for sample) and the adsorption decreased sharply with an increase in water in the pore. The second step was attributed to the formation of monolayer on the walls of the supercages by hydrogen bonds between water molecule and oxygen atoms of zeolitic framework. For the third step, the saturation of cavities was reached and the adsorption heat decreased down to the vaporization heat of water. Hence, the water adsorption properties were strongly dependent on the nature and the density of exchangeable cations.

In addition to the phase formation, this study also indicated the strong effect of material specific surface area, pore volume and pore size on the water adsorption performance. The samples (with acid-washing pretreatment) having high specific surface area and pore volume can gain significantly better adsorption capacity than the samples without acid-washing pretreatment. Furthermore, the strong relation between the average pore diameter of material and the adsorption performance was also observed. The pretreated samples contain considerably lower average pore diameter compared with the untreated samples and present greater adsorption capacity; this could be due to the good compatibility between the pretreated material pore size and the diameter of water molecule (2.8 Å). These benefits on the phase formation, material specific surface area, the pore volume and the pore size clearly reveal the importance of
pretreatment process on the sorbent synthesis. Lastly, it was observed that NaOH/CFA ratio also affects the water adsorption performance. Z-HCl-F450-Na2.25-C20 contains higher amount of Na2O than Z-HCl-F450-Na1.2-C20 (21.1% compared to 18.8%, according to the XRF analysis) and enhances greater adsorption performance.

It should be noted that the effects of adsorption temperature and adsorption cycle on the water adsorption capacity were also investigated, from which Z-HCl-F550-Na2.25-C20 was chosen for these studies. The effects of adsorption temperature were performed by varying the adsorption temperature from 85°C to 90°C and 100°C. It was found that the adsorption at 85°C enhances the highest water adsorption capability, from which the effluent ethanol concentration can increase to 99.8% compared 99% and 98.5% observed at 90°C and 100°C, respectively, as shown in Fig. 6. Due to the exothermic characteristic of adsorption reaction, a low operation temperature is preferable; nevertheless, the operating temperature cannot be lower than 85°C since the vapor phase of ethanol solution could possibly be condensed. Regarding the effect of adsorption cycle, the sample was regenerated after the first cycle test (for 20 min) by purging hot nitrogen through the bed which increased the temperature to 280°C in order to remove all adsorbed water from the sample. Then, the system was cooled down to the adsorption temperature (90°C) and the adsorption test was repeated for the second and third times without removing the sample from the bed. Figure 7 demonstrates that no deactivation occurred from the second and third cycle tests. In fact, the adsorption capacities of the second and third cycles seem to be slightly higher than that of the first cycle. This could be due to the regeneration under nitrogen flow at 280°C prior to the second and third time tests, from which all water in the material pore are efficiently removed (compared to the fresh sample with only drying treatment prior to the testing was performed).

![Graph showing effect of adsorption temperature on the adsorption performance of Z-HCl-F550-Na2.25-C20.](image)

Fig. 6. Effect of adsorption temperature on the adsorption performance of Z-HCl-F550-Na2.25-C20.
4. Conclusions

The acid-washing pretreatment with 20%HCl using HCl/CFA ratio of 25.0 ml HCl/gCFA at 80°C for 3 h could efficiently remove most impurities from CFA to trace levels (i.e., CaO and MgO) and up to 85% purity of the product (SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$) could be achieved. However, Fe$_2$O$_3$ was reduced from 25.12% to 6.18%. By further fusion at 550°C with NaOH/CFA ratio of 2.25 for 12 h following with crystallization at 90°C for 4 h, zeolite with the main phases of sodium aluminum silicate hydrate and faujasite-Na can be achieved. It was found that this synthesized material enhances good water adsorption performance comparable to commercial grade molecular sieve used to remove water from ethanol solution. At the adsorption temperature of 85°C, 99.8% ethanol purity can be achieved from the adsorption using this synthesized zeolite; furthermore, no significant deactivation was observed within the three adsorption cycles.
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