# ENGINEERING JOURNAL

Article

# Effect of Cobalt Precursors on Properties of Co/CoAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Catalysts Synthesized by Solvothermal Method

Sirirat Rojanapipatkul<sup>1</sup>, James G. Goodwin, Jr.<sup>2</sup>, Piyasan Praserthdam<sup>1</sup>, and Bunjerd Jongsomjit<sup>1,\*</sup>

1 Center of Excellence on Catalysis and Catalytic Reaction Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand 2 Department of Chemical Engineering, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29634, USA E-mail: bunjerd.j@chula.ac.th\*

Abstract. In the present study, different cobalt precursors, such as cobalt acetylacetonate (CoAA), cobalt acetate (CoAC), cobalt nitrate (CoN) and cobalt chloride (CoCL), were employed to synthesize the cobalt on cobalt-aluminate catalysts by the solvothermal process. The calcined samples were characterized by means of N<sub>2</sub> physisorption, XRD, SEM/EDX, TEM and TPR. The CO hydrogenation under methanation condition was performed to measure the activity and product distribution. It revealed that the CoN sample exhibited the highest activity due to high reducibility and large crystallite size having fewer interactions. The similar trend was observed for the CoAC and CoAA samples. However, the CoCL sample had the lowest activity, but highest selectivity to C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> products. This was likely attributed to the different form of cobalt oxide species obtained from CoCl<sub>2</sub> as seen from XRD.

Keywords: Cobalt aluminate, cobalt catalyst, CO hydrogenation, solvothermal, methanation, cobalt precursor.

ENGINEERING JOURNAL Volume 16 Issue 4 Received 15 February 2012 Accepted 21 March 2012 Published 1 July 2012 Online at http://www.engj.org/ DOI:10.4186/ej.2012.16.4.5

# 1. Introduction

Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) has been considered as a part of gas-to-liquid technology, which converts natural gas that is the basis for a number of large-scale chemical industrial processes to more valuable middle distillates and clean transportation fuels. Natural gas is first transformed into synthesis gas, which is a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen as the starting material to produce heavy hydrocarbons or synthetic fuels, which generally contain negligible sulfer and aromatic compounds compared to gasoline or diesel from crude oil, through carbon monoxide hydrogenation or FTS [1].

Many transition metals of Group VIII can be used as catalysts for FTS, such as iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), and ruthenium (Ru) [2-4]. However, supported cobalt catalysts are preferred for FTS because of their high activities for FTS based on natural gas, high selectivity for linear hydrocarbons, and low activity for the competitive water-gas shift (WGS) reaction [5-7]. To increase their activities, cobalt is usually deposited on a high surface area support to obtain a high metal dispersion. Many inorganic supports, such as SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> and zeolites [8-11] have been extensively studied for supported Co catalyst for years. It is known that in general, the catalytic properties depend on reaction conditions, catalyst composition, metal dispersion, and types of inorganic supports used. Furthermore, the catalytic performance of all FT catalysts strongly depends on the methods of catalyst preparation. The preparation of cobalt supported catalysts involves choice of the appropriate catalyst support, deposition of active phase, its promotion, oxidative and reductive treatments. The deposition of the precursor on the support surface is a complex phenomenon, which involves physical or chemical interactions between the precursor and the support. It is known that cobalt dispersion depends on the type of cobalt precursors. Van De Loosdrecht et al. [12] showed that alumina-supported cobalt catalysts prepared by incipient wetness impregnation using cobalt EDTA and cobalt citrate precursors resulted in smaller cobalt oxide particles compared to the one prepared from cobalt nitrate. The use of cobalt oxalate, cobalt acetate, or cobalt acetylacetonate as cobalt precursors for titania-supported cobalt catalysts has been found to give higher cobalt dispersions than the catalysts prepared from cobalt nitrate [13]. Rosynek and Polansky [14] reported that use of cobalt acetate yields higher dispersion than cobalt chloride on silica. Sun et al. [15] concluded that catalysts prepared by mixed impregnation of cobalt nitrate and cobalt acetate result in higher Fischer-Tropsch synthesis activity than catalysts prepared from either monoprecursor. In previous study, Panpranot et al. [16] has presented a comprehensive model for how cobalt precursors on MCM-41 support influenced the catalytic activity of the cobalt catalysts. A balance between dispersion-enhancing strong support-precursor interaction and metal loss by retarded reduction was suggested. However, compound formation between cobalt and the supports such as cobalt-aluninate, cobalt-silicate can occur during the catalyst activation and/or reaction conditions resulting in irreversible catalyst deactivation [16, 17].

The main objective of this present research was to investigate the effect of cobalt precursors on the catalytic properties of cobalt dispersed on cobalt-aluminate synthesized by the solvothermal having only a single step to disperse cobalt oxide species on the cobalt-aluminate. The great benefit of this study is that the cobalt on cobalt-aluminate can be prepared in only single step compared to the one obtained from the conventional impregnation method. Moreover, the cobalt-aluminate as cobalt-support compound formation (Co-SCF) [17] is not formed further due to the limitation of Co/Al ratio upon stoichiometry to form cobalt-aluminate. The cobalt-aluminate formation can result in low activity of the cobalt catalysts. The samples were prepared and characterized by means of N<sub>2</sub> physisorption, XRD, SEM/EDX, TEM and TPR. The samples were tested under methanation condition in order to measure the catalyst activity and product distribution.

# 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Catalyst Preparation

Cobalt on cobalt-aluminate was synthesized by solvothermal method [18]. It was prepared using the mixture of aluminium isoproposide (AIP,  $[(CH_3)_2CHO]_3 \cdot Al)$ , 15.0 g (0.07344 mole) and appropriate amount of cobalt precursors [cobalt (II) acetylacetonate, cobalt (II) acetate, cobalt (II) nitrate and cobalt (II) chloride)] that the Co/Al molar ratio equal to 1.0. The starting materials were suspended in 100 mL of toluene in a beaker, and then set up in 1000 mL autoclave. In the gap between the beaker and autoclave wall, toluene (40 mL) was added. After the autoclave completely purged with nitrogen, the suspension was heated to 250°C at the rate of 2.5°C/min and was hold at that temperature for 2 h. Autogenous pressure

during the reaction gradually increased as temperature was raised. Then the autoclave was cooled to room temperature. After the autoclave was cooled, the resulting product was washed repeatedly with methanol by centrifugation and dried at 110°C for 24 h. The calcination of the obtained product was carried out in a furnace with air (30 cc/min) using the ramp rate of 10°C/min at 300°C for 1h.

# 2.2. Catalyst Characterization

#### 2.2.1. N<sub>2</sub> Physisorption

The specific surface area of catalysts was performed using Micromeritic Chemisorb 2750. It was calculated based on nitrogen uptake at liquid-nitrogen temperature using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation by the single point method.

# 2.2.2. X-ray Diffraction

XRD was performed to determine the bulk crystalline phases of catalyst. It was conducted using a SIEMENS D-5000 X-ray diffractometer with CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> ( $\lambda = 1.54439$  Å). The spectra were scanned at a rate of 2.4 deg/min in the range  $2\theta = 20-80^{\circ}$ .

# 2.2.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy

SEM and EDX were used to determine the catalyst morphologies and elemental distribution throughout the catalyst granules, respectively. The SEM of JEOL mode JSM-5410LV was applied using the secondary electron mode at 15 kV. EDX was performed using Link Isis series 300 program.

2.2.4. Transmission Electron Microscopy

The morphology and size of the catalysts were determined using a JEOL-TEM 200CX transmission electron spectroscopy operated at 160 kV.

2.2.5. Temperature-Programmed Reduction

TPR was used to determine the reduction behaviors of the samples. It was carried out using 20 mg of a sample and a temperature ramp from 35 to 800°C at 10 °C/min. The carrier gas was 5% H<sub>2</sub> in Ar. A cold trap was placed before the detector to remove water produced during the reaction. A thermal conductivity detector (TCD) was used to determine the amount of H<sub>2</sub> consumed during TPR.

#### 2.3. Reaction study

CO hydrogenation (H<sub>2</sub>/CO = 10/1) was performed to measure the overall activity of the catalyst samples. Hydrogenation of CO was carried out at 220°C and 1 atm. A flow rate of H<sub>2</sub>/CO/Ar = 20/2/8 cc/min in a fixed-bed flow reactor was used. A relatively high H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratio was used to minimize deactivation due to carbon deposition during reaction. Typically, 0.2 g of a catalyst sample was reduced *in situ* in flowing H<sub>2</sub> (30 cc/min) at 350°C for 3 h prior to the reaction. Reaction effluent samples were taken at 1 h intervals and analyzed by GC. In all cases, steady-state was reached within 5 h.

#### 2.4. Catalyst nomenclature

The nomenclature used for the catalyst samples in this study is as follows:

- **CoAA** refers to the cobalt on cobalt-aluminate catalyst synthesized from the cobalt (II) acetylacetonate precursor.
- **CoAC** refers to the cobalt on cobalt-aluminate catalyst synthesized from the cobalt (II) acetate precursor.
- **CoN** refers to the cobalt on cobalt-aluminate catalyst synthesized from the cobalt (II) nitrate precursor.

• **CoCL** refers to cobalt on cobalt-aluminate catalyst that synthesized from cobalt (II) chloride precursor.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

In the present study, the effect of different cobalt precursors, such as cobalt (II) acetylacetonate, cobalt (II) acetate, cobalt (II) nitrate and cobalt (II) chloride employed to synthesize the cobalt dispersed on cobaltaluminate catalysts using the solvothermal process was investigated. After calcination of samples, they were further characterized by means of  $N_2$  physisorption, XRD, SEM/EDX, TEM and TPR. The samples were also tested under methanation condition in order to measure the activity and product distribution. Details are discussed as follows:

All the calcined samples were measured for the BET surface areas using the  $N_2$  physisorption. The BET surface areas are listed in Table 1.

Samples	BET Surface Area $(m^2/g)$	Rate $(x^{10^2}\sigma CH_2/\sigma cat h)$	Selectivity to	Selectivity to
CoAA	130	37	99.5	0.5
CoAC	61	41	99.9	0.1
CoN	85	69	99.9	0.1
CoCL	124	1	89.9	10.1

Table 1.  $N_2$  physisorption and reaction study.

It was found that the BET surface areas of samples obtained from different cobalt precursors were in the range of 61-130 m<sup>2</sup>/g within the order of CoAA > CoCL > CoN > CoAC. The XRD patterns for all samples are shown in Fig. 1. As seen, various forms of cobalt oxides  $(Co_xO_y)$  are dispersed on CoAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The noises can be attributed to the presence of various  $Co_xO_y$  on the support. It indicated that the CoAA, CoAC and CoN samples exhibited the similar short-broaden XRD peaks at 31, 37, 46, 59 and 65° assigned to  $Co_3O_4$  and  $CoAl_2O_4$  species, which were overlapped [17, 19-21]. The observed short-broaden peaks suggested the good dispersion of  $Co_3O_4$  and  $CoAl_2O_4$  species. However, the XRD patterns for CoCL sample showed a short-broaden XRD peaks at 28, 37, 39, 49, 66 and 73° indicating the other forms of chlorinated cobalt oxide species.



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the calcined cobalt dispersed on cobalt-aluminate catalysts using different cobalt precursors.

SEM and EDX were performed to study the morphologies of catalyst samples and the elemental distribution on the external surface of the catalyst granule. It was found that no significant change in morphologies was observed upon different cobalt precursors employed. All catalyst granules were appeared in irregular shapes regardless to different cobalt precursors. A typical SEM micrograph and EDX mapping for cobalt on cobalt-aluminate are shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. A typical SEM micrograph and EDX mapping for the calcined CoN catalyst.

It was also observed that cobalt was well distributed on the catalyst granule. In order to determine the dispersion of cobalt oxide species and crystallite size of them, the more powerful technique, such as TEM was performed. The TEM micrographs for all samples are shown in Fig. 3.

The dark spots represented the cobalt species dispersing on the catalyst granule. It was found that different cobalt precursors were result in different crystallite size of cobalt oxide species in the order of CoN > CoAC > CoAA > CoCL. In addition, all samples exhibited the agglomeration of the crystals as seen in the cluster of polycrystals.

It is known that the active form of cobalt catalyst for CO hydrogenation reaction is cobalt metal (Co<sup>0</sup>). Thus, reduction of cobalt oxide species is essentially performed in order to transform cobalt oxide species obtained after calcination process into the active cobalt atoms for catalyzing the reaction. Therefore, TPR was performed in order to determine the reduction behaviors and reducibility of cobalt oxide species. The TPR profiles for all catalyst samples are shown in Fig. 4. It was found that the CoAA and CoAC samples exhibited reduction peaks at ca. 450°C and at ca. 650°C, whereas the TPR reduction peaks for the CoN sample were located at ca. 350°C and 700°C. The CoAA sample exhibits two shoulders at low temperature area indicating more non-uniform cobalt oxides species present. The reduction peak for the CoCL sample was located at ca. 450 °C. All the peaks observed were assigned to the overlap of two step reduction of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> to CoO and, then to Co metal [17, 19-21]. Upon the TPR conditions, the two-step reduction may or may not be observed. In fact, the TPR peak locations are affected by reduction kinetics. The kinetics of reduction can be affected by a wide range of variables, including crystallite size, support interaction, and reduction gas composition [17, 22]. The effects of crystallite size and support interaction can be superimposed on each other. Thus, while a decrease in metal oxide crystallite size can result in faster reduction due to greater surface area/volume ratio, smaller particles may interact more with the support, slowing reduction. As seen from Fig. 4, the amounts of H2 consumed during TPR related to the reducibility of each sample were different as seen from the area below the TPR profiles. It can be observed that the CoCL sample exhibited the lowest reducibility among other samples even though it rendered the smallest crystallite size of cobalt oxide species as seen from the TEM results. This was suggested that highly dispersed forms of cobalt oxide species be not only the factor that insures the high degree of

reducibility [23]. Here, the effect of crystallite size was more pronounced where the smaller particle can interact more retarding reduction. The similar phenomenon was also observed for the  $Co/ZrO_2$  catalyst as reported by Kittiruangrayab *et al.* [24]. Thus, the larger particle, such as the cobalt oxide from CoN sample can be reduced more easily based on the TEM and TPR results.



Fig. 3. TEM micrographs of the calcined cobalt dispersed on cobalt-aluminate catalysts using different cobalt precursors; top-left (CoAA), top-right (CoAC), bottom-left (CoN), bottom-right (CoCL).



Fig. 4. TPR profiles for cobalt dispersed on cobalt-aluminate catalysts using different cobalt precursors.

In order to determine the catalytic behaviors of the samples obtained from different cobalt precursors, CO hydrogenation ( $H_2/CO = 10$ ) under methanation condition was performed to determine the overall activity and product selectivity of the samples. In fact, hydrogenation of CO was carried out at 220°C at atmospheric pressure. A flow rate of  $H_2/CO/Ar = 20/2/8$  cc/min in a fixed-bed flow reactor was used. The relatively high H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratio was employed to minimize deactivation due to carbon deposition during reaction. The resulted reaction study is also shown in Table 1. The CoN sample exhibited the highest activity among other samples with in agreement as the results from the TPR measurement. The CoAC and CoAA samples also showed adjacent high activity as well. However, the CoCL sample exhibited the lowest activity during methnation due to strong interaction. Considering the product selectivity, it revealed that for the CoN, CoAC and COAA samples, cobalt precursors did not affect on the selectivity of product during methanation where methane was still the product majority in the effluent. On contrary, the selectivity of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> products apparently increased with the use of CoCl<sub>2</sub> as the cobalt precursor. This was suggested that the cobalt oxide species derived from CoCl<sub>2</sub> might have different form compared to those obtained from other cobalt precursors as mentioned for the XRD results. The different forms of cobalt oxide species likely respond for the chain growth probability resulting in different values of C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> selectivity during methanation.

#### 4. Summary

Here, different cobalt precursors, such as cobalt (II) acetylacetonate, cobalt (II) acetate, cobalt (II) nitrate and cobalt (II) chloride, were employed to synthesize the cobalt on cobalt-aluminate catalysts. It revealed that the CoN sample exhibited the highest activity during methanation due to high reducibility and large crystallite size having fewer interactions. The similar trend was observed for the CoAC and CoAA samples. However, the CoCL sample had the lowest activity, but highest selectivity to  $C_2$ - $C_4$  products. This was likely attributed to the different form of cobalt oxide species obtained from CoCl<sub>2</sub> as seen from XRD.

#### Acknowledgement

We thank the Thailand Research Fund (TRF), Higher Education Promotion and National Research University Development (AM1088A), Office of the Higher Commission (CHE), the National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) for the financial support of this research.

# References

- [1] T. Mochizuki, T. Hara, N. Koizumi, and M. Yamada, "Surface structure and Fischer-Tropsch synthesis activity of highly active Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts prepared from the impregnating solution modified with some chelating agents," *Appl. Catal A.: Gen*, vol. 317, pp. 97-104, 2007.
- [2] H. J. Wan, B. S. Wu, C. H. Zhang, H. W. Xiang, Y. W. Li, B. F. Xu, and F. Yi., "Study on Fe-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interaction over precipitated iron catalyst for Fischer-Tropsch síntesis," *Catal. Commun.*, vol. 8, pp. 1538-1545, 2007.
- [3] N. O. Elbashir, P. Dutta, A. Manivannan, M. S. Seehra, and C. B. Roberts, "Impact of cobalt-based catalyst characteristics on the performance of conventional gas-phase and supercritical-phase Fischer– Tropsch synthesis," *Appl. Catal A: Gen*, vol. 285, pp. 169-180, 2005.
- [4] S. A. Hosseini, A. Taeb, and F. Feyzi, "Evaluation of Ru-promoted Co/gamma-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis in a CSTR," *Catal. Commun.*, vol. 6, pp. 233-240, 2005.
- [5] B. Jongsomjit, J. Panpranot, and J. G. Goodwin, Jr., "Effect of zirconia-modified alumina on the properties of Co/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts," J. Catal., vol. 215, pp. 66-77, 2003.
- [6] E. Iglesia, "Design, synthesis, and use of cobalt-based Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalysts," *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, vol. 161, pp. 59-78, 1997.
- [7] R. C. Brady and R. J. Pettie, "Mechanism of the Fischer-Tropsch reaction, the chain propagation step," J. Am. Chem. Soc., vol. 103, pp.1287-1289, 1981.
- [8] A. Martinez, C. Lopez, F. Marquez, and I. Duaz, "Fischer-Tropsch synthesis of hydrocarbons over mesoporous Co/SBA-15 catalysts: the influence of metal loading, cobalt precursor, and promoters," J. *Catal.*, vol. 220, pp. 486-499, 2003.
- [9] T. Das, G. Jacobs, P. M. Patterson, W. A. Coner, J. Li, and B. H. Davis, "Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: characterization and catalytic properties of rhenium promoted cobalt alumina catalysts," *Fuel*, vol. 82, pp. 805-815, 2003.
- [10] J. L. Li, G. Jacobs, T. Das, and B. H. Davis, "Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: effect of water on the catalytic properties of a ruthenium promoted Co/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst," *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, vol. 233, pp. 255-262, 2002.
- [11] X. H. Li, K. Asami, M. F. Luo, K. Michiki, N. Tsubaki, and K. Fujimoto, "Direct synthesis of middle iso-paraffins from synthesis gas," *Catal. Today*, vol. 84, pp. 59-65, 2003.
- [12] J. Van De Loosdrecht, M. Van Der Haar, A. M. Van Der Kraan, A. J. Van Dillen, and J. W. Geus, "Preparation and properties of supported cobalt catalysts to Fischer-Tropsch síntesis," *Appl. Catal A: Gen*, vol. 150, pp. 365-376, 1997.
- [13] M. Kraum and M. Baerns, "Fisher-Tropsch synthesis: the influence of various cobalt compounds applied in the preparation of supported cobalt catalysts on their performance," *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, vol. 186, pp. 189-200, 1999.
- [14] M. P. Rosynek and C. A. Polansky, "Effect of cobalt source on the reduction properties of silicasupported cobalt catalysts," *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, vol. 73, pp. 97-112, 1991.
- [15] S. L. Sun, I. Isubaki, and K. Fujimoto, "The reaction performances and characterization of Fischer-Tropsch synthesis Co/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts prepared from mixed cobalt salts," *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, vol. 202, pp. 121-131, 2000.
- [16] P. Panpranot, S. Kaewkun, P. Praserthdam, and J. G. Goodwin, Jr., "Effect of cobalt precursors on the dispersion of cobalt on MCM-41," *Catal. Lett.*, vol. 91, pp. 95-102, 2003.
- [17] B. Jongsomjit, J. Panpranot, and J. G. Goodwin, Jr., "Co-support compound formation in aluminasupported cobalt catalysts," J. Catal., vol. 204, pp. 98-109, 2001.
- [18] E. E. Platero, C. O. Arean, and J. B. Parra, "Synthesis of high surface area CoAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and NiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinels by an alkoxide route," *Res. Chem. Intermediat.*, vol. 25, pp. 187-194, 1999.
- [19] B. Jongsomjit, C. Sakdamnuson, J. G. Goodwin Jr., and P. Praserthdam, "Co-support compound formation in titania-supported cobalt catalysts" *Catal. Lett.*, vol. 94, pp. 209-215, 2004.
- [20] P. Tupabut, B. Jongsomjit, and P. Praserthdam, "Impact of boron modification on MCM-41supported cobalt catalysts for hydrogenation of carbon monoxide," *Catal. Lett.*, vol. 118, pp. 195-202, 2007.
- [21] N. Chitpong, P. Praserthdam, and B. Jongsomjit, "A study on characteristics and catalytic properties of Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub>-B catalysts towards methanation," *Catal. Lett.*, vol. 128, pp.119-126, 2009.

- [22] B. Jongsomjit and J. G. Goodwin, Jr., "Co-support Compound Formation in Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts: effect of reduction gas containing CO," *Catal. Today*, vol. 77, pp. 191-204, 2002.
- [23] B. Jongsomjit, T. Wongsalee, and P. Praserthdam, "Study of cobalt dispersion on titania consisting various rutile:anatase ratios," *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 92, pp. 572-577, 2005.
- [24] S. Kittiruangrayab, T. Burakorn, B. Jongsomjit, and P. Praserthdam, "Characteristics of cobalt dispersed on various micro- and nanoscale silica and zirconia supports," *Catal. Lett.*, vol. 124, pp. 376-383, 2008.